AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

Docket No.: 1801270.00137US1

1. (Currently amended) A method of performing native binding to execute native code during the translation of translating subject program code executable by a subject processor into target program code executable by a target processor, wherein native code is code executable by the target processor, said method comprising:

dividing the subject program code into a plurality of subject program code units;

translating one or more of the subject program code units into one or more target

program code units; and

executing the one or more target program code units on the target processor;

wherein the translating step includes identifying certain a subject function in the subject program code having a corresponding native function of native code[[;]], wherein the native code is code executable by the target processor, and identifying the native function of the native code which corresponds to the identified subject functionsubject program code; and

wherein the executing step includes executing the corresponding native code native function on the target processor instead of executing a translated version of the identified subject program code subject function, including transforming zero or more function parameters from a target code representation to a native code representation, invoking the native function with the transformed zero or more function parameters according to a prototype of the native function, and transforming zero or more return values of the invoked native function from a native code representation to a target code representation.

- 2. (Cancelled)
- 3. (Cancelled)

Reply to Office Action of September 13, 2007

4. (Currently amended) The method of claim $3\underline{1}$, wherein at least one of the transformations in the transforming steps generates an intermediate representation of the transformation.

5. (Currently amended) The method of claim $3\underline{1}$, wherein at least one of the transformations in the transforming steps generates target code.

6. (Currently amended) The method of claim $3\underline{1}$, wherein the native function executing step further comprises:

transforming in target code all subject register values from the target code representation to the native code representation;

invoking from target code a native code call stub function with the transformed subject registers according to a uniform call stub interface;

invoking from the native code call stub function the native function with particular subject registers and/or parameter stack according to the prototype of the native function.

7. (Currently amended) The method of claim 31, wherein the native function executing step comprises:

transforming a function parameter from a target code representation to a native code representation;

invoking the native function with the transformed function parameter according to a prototype of the native function; and

transforming a result of the invoked native function from a native code representation to a target code representation.

8. (Currently amended) The method of claim 31, wherein the function parameter transforming step and the native function invoking step are described in subject code by translator specific instructions added to the subject instruction set.

Docket No.: 1801270.00137US1 Application No. 10/748,317

Amendment dated February 13, 2008

Reply to Office Action of September 13, 2007

9. (Currently amended) The method of claim 1, wherein the steps of identifying the

certain subject codesubject function and its corresponding native code-function are

performed using a bind point description.

10. (Cancelled)

(Currently amended) The method of claim 109, further comprising inserting in 11.

the target code a call stub to the native function during translation of the subject code

when encountering the subject function contained in the bind point description.

(Original) The method of claim 9, wherein the bind point description is 12.

embedded within a translator performing the translation.

(Original) The method of claim 9, further comprising reading the bind point 13.

description from a stored bind point description file at the beginning of translation

execution.

(Currently amended) The method of claim 9, wherein the bind point description 14.

includes a location in the subject code and a corresponding native function, wherein the

location in the subject code identifies the certain subject program code subject function

having a corresponding native code function and the native function identifies the

corresponding native code function.

(Currently amended) The method of claim 9, wherein the bind point description 15.

includes a location in the subject code and a reference to code to be invoked, wherein the

location in the subject code identifies the certain subject program code subject function

having a corresponding native eode function and the reference to code to be invoked

identifies the corresponding native codefunction.

16. (Original) The method of claim 15, wherein the code to be invoked is target code.

Application No. 10/748,317 Docket No.: 1801270.00137US1
Amendment dated February 13, 2008

Reply to Office Action of September 13, 2007

17. (Original) The method of claim 9, wherein the bind point description includes a

native function call which is inserted in the target code either before, after, or in place of

a subject function call.

18. (Original) The method of claim 9, further performing runtime symbol patching

comprising:

encoding subject-to-native function mappings in a symbol table of the subject

program,

replacing entries in the symbol table of the subject program with special native

binding markers, and

interpreting the special native binding markers when encountered during

translation as bind point descriptions to identify an appropriate native function to call.

19. (Currently amended) The method of claim 9, wherein the bind point description

includes a correspondence to an external Schizo-call command, wherein the Schizo

external call command is a translator-specific native binding instruction, the method

comprising:

when encountering a bind point description identifying an external Schizo-call

command during translation of the subject code, diverting the flow of translation to the

execution of the external Schizo-call command.

20. (Currently amended) The method of claim 19, wherein the external Schizo-call

command execution step comprises:

interpreting the external Schizo-call command; and

generating an intermediate representation of the external Schizo-call command

which:

transforms a function parameter from a target code representation to a

native code representation, and

Reply to Office Action of September 13, 2007

Docket No.: 1801270.00137US1

invokes the native function with the transformed function parameter according to a prototype of the native function.

21. (Currently amended) The method of claim 19, wherein the external Schizo-call command execution step comprises:

interpreting the external Schizo-call command; and generating target code for the external Schizo-call command which:

transforms a function parameter from a target code representation to a native code representation, and

invokes the native function with the transformed function parameter according to a prototype of the native function.

22. (Currently amended) The method of claim 1, further comprising:

inserting Schizo external call commands into the subject code, wherein external

Schizo-call commands are translator-specific native binding instructions; and

detecting the Schizo-external call commands during translation of the subject code.

23. (Currently amended) The method of claim 22, further comprising:

when encountering a Schizo-the external call command during translation of the subject code, diverting the flow of translation to the execution of the Schizo-external call command.

24. (Currently amended) The method of claim 23, wherein the <u>externalSchizo</u> call command execution step comprises:

interpreting the external Schizo-call command; and

generating an intermediate representation of the Schizo-external call command which:

transforms a function parameter from a target code representation to a native code representation, and

Reply to Office Action of September 13, 2007

invokes the native function with the transformed function parameter according to a prototype of the native function.

Docket No.: 1801270.00137US1

25. (Currently amended) The method of claim 23, wherein the Schizo-external call command execution step comprises:

interpreting the Schizo-external call command; and generating target code for the Schizo-external call command which:

transforms a function parameter from a target code representation to a native code representation, and

invokes the native function with the transformed function parameter according to a prototype of the native function

- 26. (Currently amended) The method of claim 22, wherein the <u>Schizo-external</u> call commands are variable length instructions including multiple sub-component instructions.
- 27. (Currently amended) The method of claim 26, wherein the multiple sub-component instructions include an Schizo-Escape sub-component instruction, said Schizo external call commands detecting step further comprising detecting the Schizo-Escape sub-component instruction.
- 28. (Currently amended) The method of claim 27, wherein said Schizo-Escape sub-component instruction further identifies a type of Schizo-external call command represented by the other sub-component instructions of the Schizo-external call command.
- 29. (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising: parsing and decoding a native binding implementation scripting language containing native binding scripts;

interpreting the native binding scripts during translation;

Docket No.: 1801270.00137US1

generating an intermediate representation of the native binding scripts to transform a function parameter from a target code representation to a native code representation.

- 30. (Original) The method of claim 29, further comprising:
 integrating the intermediate representation of the native binding scripts into an intermediate representation forest for a block of subject code; and generating target code for the intermediate representation forest.
- 31. (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising:
 transforming in target code all subject register values from the target code
 representation to the native code representation;

invoking from target code a native code call stub function with the transformed subject registers according to a uniform call stub interface;

interpreting the native code call stub function; and

generating an intermediate representation of the native code call stub function binding scripts to transform a function parameter from a target code representation to a native code representation.

- 32. (Original) The method of claim 21, further comprising:
 integrating the intermediate representation of the native code call stub function
 into an intermediate representation forest for a block of subject code; and
 generating target code for the intermediate representation forest.
- 33. (Currently amended) The method of claim 31, wherein the native function executing step further comprises:

transforming in target code all subject register values from the target code representation to the native code representation;

invoking from target code a native code call stub function with the transformed subject registers;

invoking from the native code call stub function the native function with particular subject registers and/or parameter stack according to the prototype of the native function.

34. (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising: parsing a scripting language implementation of a native code call stub function; compiling the parsed native code call stub function into a native code executable module; and

linking the native code executable module with an executable for performing the translation.

35. (Original) The method of claim 34, wherein the native code executable module is executable for:

transforming in target code all subject register values from the target code representation to the native code representation;

invoking from target code a native code call stub function with the transformed subject registers; and

invoking from the native code call stub function the native function with particular subject registers and/or parameter stack according to the prototype of the native function.

36. (Currently amended) The method of claim 34, wherein the steps of identifying the certain subject codesubject function and its corresponding native code function are performed using a bind point description, said bind point description including a subject function and a native code call stub function, wherein the subject function identifies the certain subject program code subject function having corresponding native code function and the native code call stub function identifies the corresponding native code function.

Application No. 10/748,317 Docket No.: 1801270.00137US1

Amendment dated February 13, 2008 Reply to Office Action of September 13, 2007

top.) to c.....

37. (Original) The method of claim 36, further comprising encoding the identity of the native function of the native code call stub function in the scripting language

implementation of the native code executable module.

38. (Currently amended) The method of claim $3\underline{1}$, wherein the native function

executing step further comprises:

transforming in target code all subject register values from the target code

representation to the native code representation;

invoking from target code a target code call stub function with the transformed

subject registers;

invoking from the target code call stub function the native function with particular

subject registers and/or parameter stack according to the prototype of the native function.

39. (Original) The method of claim 38, further comprising:

generating an intermediate representation of the native function executing step;

integrating the intermediate representation of the native function executing step

into an intermediate representation forest for a block of subject code; and

generating target code for the intermediate representation forest.

40. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the subject function to be executed is

a system call.

41. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the subject function to be executed is

a library function.

42. (Currently amended) A computer-readable storage medium having software

resident thereon in the form of computer-readable code executable by a computer having

<u>a target processor</u> to perform the steps of:

dividing a subject program in subject program code executable by a subject

processor into a plurality of subject program code units;

Application No. 10/748,317 Docket No.: 1801270.00137US1

Amendment dated February 13, 2008 Reply to Office Action of September 13, 2007

translating one or more of the subject program code units into one or more target program code units of target program code; and

wherein the translating step includes identifying certain a subject function in the subject program code having a corresponding native function of native code[[;]], wherein the native code is code executable by the target processor, and identifying the native function of the native code which corresponds to the identified subject function subject program code; and

wherein the executing step includes executing the corresponding native code native function on the target processor instead of executing a translated version of the identified subject program code subject function, including transforming zero or more function parameters from a target code representation to a native code representation, invoking the native function with the transformed zero or more function parameters according to a prototype of the native function, and transforming zero or more return values of the invoked native function from a native code representation to a target code representation.

- 43. (Cancelled
- 44. (Cancelled)
- 45. (Currently amended) The computer-readable storage medium of claim [[44]]42, wherein at least one of the transformations in the transforming steps generates an intermediate representation of the transformation.
- 46. (Currently amended) The computer-readable storage medium of claim [[44]]42, wherein at least one of the transformations in the transforming steps generates target code.

47. (Currently amended) The computer-readable storage medium of claim [[44]]42, wherein the native function executing step further comprises:

Docket No.: 1801270.00137US1

transforming in target code all subject register values from the target code representation to the native code representation;

invoking from target code a native code call stub function with the transformed subject registers according to a uniform call stub interface;

invoking from the native code call stub function the native function with particular subject registers and/or parameter stack according to the prototype of the native function.

48. (Currently amended) The computer-readable storage medium of claim [[44]]42, wherein the native function executing step comprises:

transforming a function parameter from a target code representation to a native code representation;

invoking the native function with the transformed function parameter according to a prototype of the native function; and

transforming a result of the invoked native function from a native code representation to a target code representation.

- 49. (Currently amended) The computer-readable storage medium of claim [[44]]42, wherein the function parameter transforming step and the native function invoking step are described in subject code by translator specific instructions added to the subject instruction set.
- 50. (Currently amended) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 42, wherein the steps of identifying the <u>certain</u>-subject <u>code-function</u> and its corresponding native <u>code-function</u> are performed using a bind point description.
- 51. (Cancelled)

Application No. 10/748,317 Docket No.: 1801270.00137US1

Amendment dated February 13, 2008 Reply to Office Action of September 13, 2007

52. (Currently amended) The computer-readable storage medium of claim [[51]]50, wherein said computer readable code is further executable for inserting in the target code a call stub to the native function during translation of the subject code when encountering the subject function contained in the bind point description.

- 53. (Original) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 50, wherein the bind point description is embedded within a translator performing the translation.
- 54. (Original) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 50, wherein said computer readable code is further executable for reading the bind point description from a stored bind point description file at the beginning of translation execution.
- 55. (Currently amended) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 50, wherein the bind point description includes a location in the subject code and a corresponding native function, wherein the location in the subject code identifies the certain subject program code subject function having a corresponding native code function and the native function identifies the corresponding native code function.
- 56. (Currently amended) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 50, wherein the bind point description includes a location in the subject code and a reference to code to be invoked, wherein the location in the subject code identifies the eertain subject program codesubject function having a corresponding native code function and the reference to code to be invoked identifies the corresponding native code function.
- 57. (Original) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 56, wherein the code to be invoked is target code.
- 58. (Original) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 50, wherein the bind point description includes a native function call which is inserted in the target code either before, after, or in place of a subject function call.

59. (Original) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 50, wherein said computer readable code is further executable for performing runtime symbol patching comprising:

encoding subject-to-native function mappings in a symbol table of the subject program,

replacing entries in the symbol table of the subject program with special native binding markers, and

interpreting the special native binding markers when encountered during translation as bind point descriptions to identify an appropriate native function to call.

60. (Currently amended) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 50, wherein the bind point description includes a correspondence to an external Schizo call command, wherein the Schizo call command is a translator-specific native binding instruction, for:

when encountering a bind point description identifying an external Schizo call command during translation of the subject code, diverting the flow of translation to the execution of the external Schizo call command.

61. (Currently amended) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 60, wherein the external Schizo call command execution step comprises:

interpreting the external Schizo call command; and

generating an intermediate representation of the external Schizo call command which:

transforms a function parameter from a target code representation to a native code representation, and

invokes the native function with the transformed function parameter according to a prototype of the native function.

oplication No. 10/748,317 Docket No.: 1801270.00137US1

62. (Currently amended) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 60, wherein the external Schizo call command execution step comprises:

interpreting the external Schizo call command; and generating target code for the external Schizo call command which:

transforms a function parameter from a target code representation to a native code representation, and

invokes the native function with the transformed function parameter according to a prototype of the native function.

63. (Currently amended) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 42, wherein said computer readable code is further executable for performing the following steps:

inserting Schizo call commands into the subject code, wherein Schizo call commands are translator-specific native binding instructions; and detecting the Schizo call commands during translation of the subject code.

64. (Currently amended) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 63, wherein said computer readable code is further executable for performing the following steps:

when encountering a Schizo call command during translation of the subject code, diverting the flow of translation to the execution of the Schizo call command.

65. (Currently amended) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 64, wherein the Schizo call command execution step comprises:

interpreting the external Schizo call command; and

generating an intermediate representation of the Schizo call command which:

transforms a function parameter from a target code representation to a native code representation, and

invokes the native function with the transformed function parameter according to a prototype of the native function.

Docket No.: 1801270.00137US1

66. (Currently amended) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 64, wherein the Schizo call command execution step comprises:

interpreting the Schizo call command; and generating target code for the Schizo call command which:

transforms a function parameter from a target code representation to a native code representation, and

invokes the native function with the transformed function parameter according to a prototype of the native function

- 67. (Currently amended) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 63, wherein the Schizo call commands are variable length instructions including multiple sub-component instructions.
- 68. (Currently amended) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 67, wherein the multiple sub-component instructions include a Schizo Escape sub-component instruction, said Schizo call commands detecting step further comprising detecting the Schizo Escape sub-component instruction.
- 69. (Currently amended) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 68, wherein said Schizo Escape sub-component instruction further identifies a type of Schizo call command represented by the other sub-component instructions of the Schizo call command.
- 70. (Original) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 42, wherein said computer readable code is further executable for performing the following steps:

parsing and decoding a native binding implementation scripting language containing native binding scripts;

interpreting the native binding scripts during translation;

Application No. 10/748,317 Docket No.: 1801270.00137US1 Amendment dated February 13, 2008

Reply to Office Action of September 13, 2007

generating an intermediate representation of the native binding scripts to transform a function parameter from a target code representation to a native code representation.

71. (Original) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 70, wherein said computer readable code is further executable for performing the following steps:

integrating the intermediate representation of the native binding scripts into an intermediate representation forest for a block of subject code; and generating target code for the intermediate representation forest.

72. (Original) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 42, wherein said computer readable code is further executable for performing the following steps:

transforming in target code all subject register values from the target code representation to the native code representation;

invoking from target code a native code call stub function with the transformed subject registers according to a uniform call stub interface;

interpreting the native code call stub function; and generating an intermediate representation of the native code call stub function binding scripts to transform a function parameter from a target code representation to a native code representation.

73. (Original) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 62, wherein said computer readable code is further executable for performing the following steps:

integrating the intermediate representation of the native code call stub function into an intermediate representation forest for a block of subject code; and generating target code for the intermediate representation forest

74. (Currently amended) The computer-readable storage medium of claim [[44]]42, wherein the native function executing step further comprises:

pplication No. 10/748,317 Docket No.: 1801270.00137US1

transforming in target code all subject register values from the target code representation to the native code representation;

invoking from target code a native code call stub function with the transformed subject registers;

invoking from the native code call stub function the native function with particular subject registers and/or parameter stack according to the prototype of the native function.

75. (Original) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 42, wherein said computer readable code is further executable for performing the following steps:

parsing a scripting language implementation of a native code call stub function; compiling the parsed native code call stub function into a native code executable module; and

linking the native code executable module with an executable for performing the translation.

76. (Original) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 75, wherein the native code executable module is executable for:

transforming in target code all subject register values from the target code representation to the native code representation;

invoking from target code a native code call stub function with the transformed subject registers; and

invoking from the native code call stub function the native function with particular subject registers and/or parameter stack according to the prototype of the native function.

77. (Currently amended) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 75, wherein the steps of identifying the certain subject codesubject function and its corresponding native code function are performed using a bind point description, said bind point description including a subject function and a native code call stub function,

Docket No.: 1801270.00137US1

Application No. 10/748,317 Amendment dated February 13, 2008 Reply to Office Action of September 13, 2007

wherein the subject function identifies the certain subject program code subject function having a corresponding native code function and the native code call stub function identifies the corresponding native code function.

- 78. (Original) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 77, wherein said computer readable code is further executable for encoding the identity of the native function of the native code call stub function in the scripting language implementation of the native code executable module.
- 79. (Original) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 44, wherein the native function executing step further comprises:

transforming in target code all subject register values from the target code representation to the native code representation;

invoking from target code a target code call stub function with the transformed subject registers;

invoking from the target code call stub function the native function with particular subject registers and/or parameter stack according to the prototype of the native function.

80. (Original) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 79, wherein said computer readable code is further executable for performing the following steps:

generating an intermediate representation of the native function executing step; integrating the intermediate representation of the native function executing step into an intermediate representation forest for a block of subject code; and generating target code for the intermediate representation forest.

- 81. (Original) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 42, wherein the subject function to be executed is a system call.
- 82. (Original) The computer-readable storage medium of claim 42, wherein the subject function to be executed is a library function.

Application No. 10/748,317 Amendment dated February 13, 2008 Reply to Office Action of September 13, 2007

(Currently amended) A computer apparatus, comprising:

a target processor; and

83.

<u>a memory containing</u> translator code <u>executed by the target processor to perform</u> the steps of:

Docket No.: 1801270.00137US1

dividing a subject program in subject program code executable by a subject processor into a plurality of subject program code units;

translating one or more of the subject program code units into one or more target program code units of target program code; and

executing the one or more target program code units on the target processor;

wherein the translating step includes identifying certain a subject function in the subject program code having a corresponding native function of native code[[;]], wherein the native code is code executable by the target processor, and identifying the native function of the native code which corresponds to the identified subject function subject program code; and

wherein the executing step includes executing the corresponding native eodenative function on the target processor instead of executing a translated version of the identified subject program codesubject function, including transforming zero or more function parameters from a target code representation to a native code representation, invoking the native function with the transformed zero or more function parameters according to a prototype of the native function, and transforming zero or more return values of the invoked native function from a native code representation to a target code representation.

- 84. (Cancelled)
- 85. (Cancelled)

Reply to Office Action of September 13, 2007

86. (Currently amended) The apparatus of claim [[85]]83, wherein at least one of the

Docket No.: 1801270.00137US1

transformations in the transforming steps generates an intermediate representation of the

transformation.

87. (Currently amended) The apparatus of claim [[85]]83, wherein at least one of the

transformations in the transforming steps generates target code.

88. (Currently amended) The apparatus of claim [[85]]83, wherein the native

function executing step further comprises:

transforming in target code all subject register values from the target code

representation to the native code representation;

invoking from target code a native code call stub function with the transformed

subject registers according to a uniform call stub interface;

invoking from the native code call stub function the native function with

particular subject registers and/or parameter stack according to the prototype of the native

function.

89. (Currently amended) The apparatus of claim [[85]]83, wherein the native

function executing step comprises:

transforming a function parameter from a target code representation to a native

code representation;

invoking the native function with the transformed function parameter according to

a prototype of the native function; and

transforming a result of the invoked native function from a native code

representation to a target code representation.

90. (Currently amended) The apparatus of claim [[85]]83, wherein the function

parameter transforming step and the native function invoking step are described in

subject code by translator specific instructions added to the subject instruction set.

Reply to Office Action of September 13, 2007

91. (Currently amended) The apparatus of claim 83, wherein the steps of identifying

Docket No.: 1801270.00137US1

the eertain subject codesubject function and its corresponding native code-function are

performed using a bind point description.

92. (Cancelled)

93. (Previously presented) The apparatus of claim 92, said translator code further

comprising code executable by said target processor for inserting in the target code a call

stub to the native function during translation of the subject code when encountering the

subject function contained in the bind point description.

94. (Currently amended) The apparatus of claim 91, wherein the bind point

description is embedded within a-the translator code performing the translation.

95. (Previously presented) The apparatus of claim 91, said translator code further

comprising code executable by said target processor for reading the bind point

description from a stored bind point description file at the beginning of translation

execution.

96. (Currently amended) The apparatus of claim 91, wherein the bind point

description includes a location in the subject code and a corresponding native function,

wherein the location in the subject code identifies the certain subject program codesubject

function having a corresponding native eode-function and the native function identifies

the corresponding native eodefunction.

97. (Currently amended) The apparatus of claim 91, wherein the bind point

description includes a location in the subject code and a reference to code to be invoked,

wherein the location in the subject code identifies the certain subject program codesubject

function having a corresponding native code function and the reference to code to be

invoked identifies the corresponding native eodefunction.

Reply to Office Action of September 13, 2007

98. (Previously presented) The apparatus of claim 97, wherein the code to be invoked

Docket No.: 1801270.00137US1

is target code.

99. (Previously presented) The apparatus of claim 91, wherein the bind point

description includes a native function call which is inserted in the target code either

before, after, or in place of a subject function call.

100. (Previously presented) The apparatus of claim 91, said translator code further

comprising code executable by said target processor for performing runtime symbol

patching comprising:

encoding subject-to-native function mappings in a symbol table of the subject

program,

replacing entries in the symbol table of the subject program with special native

binding markers, and

interpreting the special native binding markers when encountered during

translation as bind point descriptions to identify an appropriate native function to call.

101. (Currently amended) The apparatus of claim 91, wherein the bind point

description includes a correspondence to an external Schizo call command, wherein the

Schizo call command is a translator-specific native binding instruction, the method

comprising:

when encountering a bind point description identifying an external Schizo call

command during translation of the subject code, diverting the flow of translation to the

execution of the external Schizo call command.

102. (Currently amended) The apparatus of claim 101, wherein the external Schizo

call command execution step comprises:

interpreting the external Schizo call command; and

Docket No.: 1801270.00137US1

generating an intermediate representation of the external Schizo call command which:

transforms a function parameter from a target code representation to a native code representation, and

invokes the native function with the transformed function parameter according to a prototype of the native function.

(Currently amended) The apparatus of claim 101, wherein the external Schizo 103. call command execution step comprises:

interpreting the external Schizo call command; and generating target code for the external Schizo call command which:

transforms a function parameter from a target code representation to a native code representation, and

invokes the native function with the transformed function parameter according to a prototype of the native function.

(Currently amended) The apparatus of claim 83, said translator code further 104. comprising code executable by said target processor for performing the following steps:

inserting Schizo call commands into the subject code, wherein Schizo call commands are translator-specific native binding instructions; and

detecting the Schizo call commands during translation of the subject code.

(Currently amended) The apparatus of claim 104, said translator code further 105. comprising code executable by said target processor for performing the following steps:

when encountering a Schizo call command during translation of the subject code, diverting the flow of translation to the execution of the Schizo call command.

106. (Currently amended) The apparatus of claim 105, wherein the Schizo call command execution step comprises:

interpreting the external Schizo call command; and

Reply to Office Action of September 13, 2007

generating an intermediate representation of the Schizo call command which:

Docket No.: 1801270.00137US1

transforms a function parameter from a target code representation to a native code representation, and

invokes the native function with the transformed function parameter according to a prototype of the native function.

(Currently amended) The apparatus of claim 105, wherein the Schizo call 107. command execution step comprises:

interpreting the Schizo call command; and generating target code for the Schizo call command which:

transforms a function parameter from a target code representation to a native code representation, and

invokes the native function with the transformed function parameter according to a prototype of the native function

- 108. (Currently amended) The apparatus of claim 104, wherein the Schizo call commands are variable length instructions including multiple sub-component instructions.
- (Currently amended) The apparatus of claim 108, wherein the multiple sub-109. component instructions include a Schizo Escape sub-component instruction, said Schizo call commands detecting step further comprising detecting the Schizo Escape subcomponent instruction.
- 110. (Currently amended) The apparatus of claim 109, wherein said Schizo Escape sub-component instruction further identifies a type of Schizo call command represented by the other sub-component instructions of the Schizo call command.
- (Previously presented) The apparatus of claim 83, said translator code further 111. comprising code executable by said target processor for performing the following steps:

Reply to Office Action of September 13, 2007

Docket No.: 1801270.00137US1

parsing and decoding a native binding implementation scripting language containing native binding scripts;

interpreting the native binding scripts during translation;

generating an intermediate representation of the native binding scripts to transform a function parameter from a target code representation to a native code representation.

112. (Previously presented) The apparatus of claim 111, said translator code further comprising code executable by said target processor for performing the following steps:

integrating the intermediate representation of the native binding scripts into an intermediate representation forest for a block of subject code; and generating target code for the intermediate representation forest.

113. (Previously presented) The apparatus of claim 83, said translator code further comprising code executable by said target processor for performing the following steps:

transforming in target code all subject register values from the target code representation to the native code representation;

invoking from target code a native code call stub function with the transformed subject registers according to a uniform call stub interface;

interpreting the native code call stub function; and

generating an intermediate representation of the native code call stub function binding scripts to transform a function parameter from a target code representation to a native code representation.

114. (Previously presented) The apparatus of claim 103, said translator code further comprising code executable by said target processor for performing the following steps:

integrating the intermediate representation of the native code call stub function into an intermediate representation forest for a block of subject code; and generating target code for the intermediate representation forest

115. (Currently amended) The apparatus of claim [[85]]83, wherein the native function executing step further comprises:

Docket No.: 1801270.00137US1

transforming in target code all subject register values from the target code representation to the native code representation;

invoking from target code a native code call stub function with the transformed subject registers;

invoking from the native code call stub function the native function with particular subject registers and/or parameter stack according to the prototype of the native function.

116. (Previously presented) The apparatus of claim 83, said translator code further comprising code executable by said target processor for performing the following steps:

parsing a scripting language implementation of a native code call stub function; compiling the parsed native code call stub function into a native code executable module; and

linking the native code executable module with an executable for performing the translation.

117. (Previously presented) The apparatus of claim 116, wherein the native code executable module is executable for:

transforming in target code all subject register values from the target code representation to the native code representation;

invoking from target code a native code call stub function with the transformed subject registers; and

invoking from the native code call stub function the native function with particular subject registers and/or parameter stack according to the prototype of the native function.

118. (Currently amended) The apparatus of claim 116, wherein the steps of identifying the certain subject codesubject function and its corresponding native code function are

Application No. 10/748,317 Docket No.: 1801270.00137US1

Amendment dated February 13, 2008

Reply to Office Action of September 13, 2007

performed using a bind point description, said bind point description including a subject function and a native code call stub function, wherein the subject function identifies the certain subject program codesubject function having a corresponding native code function and the native code call stub function identifies the corresponding native codefunction.

(Previously presented) The apparatus of claim 118, said translator code further 119. comprising code executable by said target processor for encoding the identity of the native function of the native code call stub function in the scripting language implementation of the native code executable module.

(Currently amended) The apparatus of claim [[85]]83, wherein the native 120. function executing step further comprises:

transforming in target code all subject register values from the target code representation to the native code representation;

invoking from target code a target code call stub function with the transformed subject registers;

invoking from the target code call stub function the native function with particular subject registers and/or parameter stack according to the prototype of the native function.

(Previously presented) The apparatus of claim 120, said translator code further 121. comprising code executable by said target processor for performing the following steps: generating an intermediate representation of the native function executing step; integrating the intermediate representation of the native function executing step into an intermediate representation forest for a block of subject code; and generating target code for the intermediate representation forest.

(Previously presented) The apparatus of claim 83, wherein the subject function to 122. be executed is a system call.

Application No. 10/748,317 Amendment dated February 13, 2008 Reply to Office Action of September 13, 2007 Docket No.: 1801270.00137US1

123. (Previously presented) The apparatus of claim 83, wherein the subject function to be executed is a library function.